

MONITORING VISIT REPORT

Protocol Title	A randomized placebo-controlled trial of arbaclofen vs. placebo in the treatment of				
	children and adolescents with	ASD			
Sponsor	Bloorview Research Institute,	Holland Bloorview K	ids Rehabilitation Hospital		
Protocol Name	ARBA Study				
Study Drug Name	Arbaclofen				
Site Name, Site #	Holland Bloorview Kids Rehab	ilitation Hospital			
Site Investigator Name	Dr. Evdokia Anagnostou				
Site Activation Date	March 15, 2019	Site Address	Holland Bloorview Kids		
Date of Last Monitoring Visit	July 16-19, 2019		Rehabilitation Hospital		
Monitoring Visit Date	December 4 th -5 th and 12 th		150 Kilgour Road, Toronto		
	2019		ON, M4G 1R8		
Location of Source	Research Chart				
Documents	Medical Records				
Type of Monitoring Visit					
	Close-Out				
	Other:				

SUMMARY OF MONITORING VISIT:

Monitoring Activities: This monitoring visit was part two of first Interim Monitoring Visit (IMV) from July 16-19, 2019. IMV1-Part 2 was completed on December 4-5, and 12, 2019. This part of the visit was conducted per study monitoring plan to ensure that Site Data Verification (SDV) was completed. Pending items from previous monitoring visit were reviewed off-site prior to this visit to ensure that the site has addressed all the pending items. To conclude the monitoring visit, the pharmacy review was completed on December 12, 2019 (all pending items were addressed).

Participant charts were available for the audit visit as requested in the audit letter provided on November 19, 2019. The monitors utilized the up-to-date records present in in the online "medidata RAVE" Protocol Monitor module. The entered data were compared with the source documents in the research charts. Assessments and procedures performed were reviewed against the protocol schedule to ensure protocol compliance. Participant-signed informed consents were reviewed to: 1) verify the current REB approved version of the informed consent was utilized, 2) document that each participant has signed and dated the informed consent prior to the conduct of any research procedures and 3) ensure original informed consent documents were properly filed.

Overall Impression: The monitor completed reviewing the ICF and SDV for the following participants; **105-0055**, **105-0440**, **105-0583**, **105-0697**, **105-0868**. Participant ID **105-0697** was randomly selected for in depth review per study monitoring plan. The participants' files were well maintained and data entry was overall accurate. Minor issues were

identified and can be found in page 2. Site staff remain accommodating and a pleasure to work with. The organization and cooperation of the site staff was greatly appreciated by the monitors.

Exit Interview Comments: The monitor visit results were conveyed to Dr. Anagnostou and study staff. The monitor discussed the process of monitoring according to monitoring plan. All observations/deficiencies at the time of the IMV were discussed.

Subjects reviewed this visit: For details see pages 3, 7-12

	Were any issues that require urgent action observed at this visit?
URGENT	⊠ Yes (Describe)
ISSUES	A. The sponsor/ARHC coordinating center issued a NTF dated on August 8 th , 2019 to remove
155015	Tanner staging from the physical exam at screening visit. This was not approved by REB. As
	result of this NTF, Tanner staging was not completed for few participants. The sponsor is advised
	to notify the REB regarding this incident, and to submit a memo/amend the protocol if
	necessary. The study team is also advised to submit a protocol deviation for all participants who
	didn't have their tanner staging completed at screening visit.
	B. Please ensure to provide adequate source documents to support each eligibility criterion (when
	applicable), medical history, and concomitant medications or provide further clarification or
	guidance on how these information will be collected.
	C. The site is required to provide a clear documentation to confirm that the capacity to consent was
	completed for each participant by a qualified clinician before continuing with consenting. The site is also
	reminded that the capacity assessment is ongoing process per study REB application.
	None to Report at this visit

Persons Present at Monitoring Visit	Name	Position/Title/Organization
	Abdalla Abdussamad	Research Education and Compliance
		Specialist
	Dr. Evdokia Anagnostou	Principle Investigator /Sponsor
	Lisa Genore	Study Coordinator

ENROLMENT STATUS

Total Number of Subjects Screened	36
Total Number of Subjects Consented	14
Total Number of Pre-Randomization Failure	1
Total Number of Subjects Randomized	13
Total Number of Subjects Completed Study	6
Total Number of Subjects Ongoing	7
Total Number of Subjects Withdrawn from Study	0

Describe Recruitment Plan and Current Recruitment Activities: This was not addressed in this monitoring visit

Is recruitment rate adequate?

🗌 Yes

No (Please note reason(s) and site action plan.)

INFORMED CONSENT

Was Informed Consent reviewed at this visit?

X Yes

No – Reason_

N/A – All ICFS previously reviewed.

Most Recently REB-Approved ICF Version and Version Date:

Subject Number		ICF Version Date		Correct ICF Version?
105-0055		ICF V7.0 29/04/2019 (27/8/2019)		Yes No
105-0440		ICF V7.0 29/04/2019 (24/06/2	2019)	Yes
		ICF V6.0 21/02/2019 (08/04/2	2019)	No No
		Assent Form V4.0 21/02/2019	9 (08/04/2019)	
105-0583		ICF V7.0 29/04/2019 (17/07/2	2019)	🔀 Yes
		Assent Form V4.0 21/02/2019 (17/07/2019)		No
105-0697		ICF V7.0 29/04/2019 (18/06/2019)		🔀 Yes
		ICF V6.0 21/02/2019 (03/04/2	2019)	No
		Assent Form V.4 0 21/02/2019 (03/04/2019)		
105-0868		ICF V7.0 29/04/2019 (12/09/2019)		🔀 Yes
		Assent Form V4.0 21/02/2019	€ (12/09/2019)	No
1 Was written Informed		🛛 Yes	🗌 Action Requ	uired
	Consent obtained for	No		
	every subject reviewed at			
	this visit, per GCP and			
	applicable site SOPs?			

2	Was Consent obtained for Yes		Action Required
	all subjects prior to their No		
	participation in the		
	study?		
3	Was the Informed Yes		Action Required
	Consent process properly No		Please address the identified deficiencies noted
	documented in source?		under the Urgent issues on page 2 of this report
4	Were there any Yes		Action Required
	deviations, deficiencies, 🛛 🖾 No		
	discrepancies noted in		
	the ICFs reviewed?		
SITE STAFF/FACILITIES	S		
_			
5	Did Principal Investigator meet	Yes	Action Required
	with Monitor at this site visit?	No	
6	Have there been any changes to	Yes	Action Required
	the site staff?	No NA	
7	Have there been any changes to	Yes	Action Required
,	the site facilities or equipment?		
8	Are study equipment	Yes	Action Required
	calibration/maintenance logs	🗌 No	
	available and up to date?	N/A	
9	Is the Principal Investigator	🔀 Yes	Action Required
	maintaining study oversight?	No	
10	Are trial-related activities being	Yes	Action Required
	conducted as indicated on the site	No	
	signature page and responsibility log?		
11	Has site been audited or contacted	Yes	Action Required
	by a regulatory authority since the	No	
	last visit?		
12	Were all significant issues	🛛 Yes	Action Required
	discussed with appropriate site	🗌 No	
	study staff?		
13	Does the site require any	Yes	Action Required
	additional resources?	No	
INVESTIGATOR SITE F	ILE / MONITORING ACTIVITIES		
14	Was the Monitoring Log signed?	Yes	🔀 Action Required
		🖂 No	Please ensure to have a monitoring log available
			for the monitor to sign off for each IMV.
15	Was the Screening Log found to be	Yes	Action Required
-	complete?	No	·
		🖂 NA	
16	Were the other applicable study	Yes	Action Required
	enrollment logs complete?	No No	

	1		
		N/A	
17	Was Investigator Site File (IS found to be up-to-date at th visit?		Action Required
RESEARCH ETHICS BO	DARD		
18	Is study REB approval current?	Yes No	Date of REB Approval: January 17, 2019 Date of Next Annual Re-Approval: November 18, 2020 Action Required
19	Has current version of the protocol been approved by the REB?	Yes No	Version Number: 6.0 Date: January 29, 2019
20	Has current ICF been approved by the REB?	Yes No	Version Number: 7.0 Date: April 29, 2019 Version Number: Assent Form V4.0 Date: February 21, 2019 Action Required
21	Has all other study information provided to subject been approved by the REB?	Yes No	Action Required
22	Have all advertisements been approved by the REB?	Yes No	Action Required
23	Has the current Investigator's Brochure/Product Monograph been approved/acknowledged by the REB?	Yes No NA (This was not reviewed at this visit)	Version Number: Date: Action Required
SAFETY			
24	Were any SAEs observed at this site visit?	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ NA	Action Required
25	Has site recorded all AEs/SAEs per protocol / study requirements?	Yes No NA	Action Required
26	Have all SAEs been assessed and signed off by the PI or Co-I?	Yes No NA	Action Required
27	Have all safety reports been submitted to the REB?	☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ NA	Action Required
28	Have all safety reports been acknowledged by the REB (per REB	Yes No	Action Required

	Guidelines)?			
29	Have all site SAEs been submitted to the REB?	☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ NA	Action Required	
30	Have all site SAEs been reported to Sponsor?	Yes No NA	Action Required	
31	Has any new information been detected, documented or submitted to the appropriate safety group/sponsor for previously reported AEs/SAEs?	☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ NA	Action Required	
COMPLIANCE				
32	Were PI and site staff overall compliant with procedures required by the current REB approved protocol?	Yes No	Action Required Please address the identified deficiencies noted under the Urgent issues on page 2 of this report.	
33	Have all Protocol Deviations been reported to the REB per guidelines?	Yes Xi Yos	Action Required Please address the identified deficiencies noted under the Urgent issues on page 2 of this report.	
34	Has the site recorded all protocol deviations appropriately in source	Yes Xi No	Action Required Please address the identified deficiencies noted under the Urgent issues on page 2 of this report.	
STUDY INVESTIGATIO	NAL PRODUCT			
35	Was Study Drug accountability found to be complete and accurate at this site visit? (Includes Records of Receipt, dispensing, malfunctions)	Yes No N/C N/A	Action Required	
36	Was used and/or expired Study Device destroyed on site per site SOPs or returned to Sponsor?	Yes No N/C N/A	Action Required	
37	Does site have adequate supplies of study device with valid expiry date?	Yes No N/C	Action Required	
38	Was study drug storage found to be acceptable?	Yes No N/C	Action Required	
39	Was IP administered per protocol and accurately	Yes	Action Required	

	recorded in documentation?				
STUDY SUPPLIES/VEND					
40	Does site have adequate study supplies (other than study device)?	Yes		Action F	Required
SOURCE DOCUMENT	VERIFICATION (SDV) AND	SOURCE	E DOCUMENT REVIEW	V (SDR)	
41	Did all randomized subjects meet the Eligibility Requirements?	Xes			quired vised to include source documents gibility when applicable
42	Were all subject visits entered into the CRFs within required timeline per the Monitoring Plan and/or study requirements?	Xes Ves		Action Red	quired
43	Was source document/CRF review completed as required per the current Monitoring Plan?	Xes Ves		Action Red	quired
44	Has the location of site source changed since the last visit?	☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ NA		Action Rec	quired
45	Source is adequate, complete, legible, method of correction is compliant, attributable, contemporaneous?	Yes		not meet the Practice) e.g.	quired ons the CRF (source documents) did GDP (Good Documentation errors were not corrected properly. is advised to follow GDP.
46	In general, were the eCRFs completed correctly?	Xes Ves		Action Rec	quired
eCRFS AND SUBJECTS	CHART REVIEW				
Subject Identifier	Items reviewed		Comments		Action Required
105-0055	Screening: ICF/Assent, Eligi Medical History, Other releve medical history, Psychiatric morbidities, Clinical Lab Tes Pregnancy Test, CGI-S (Glob Vital Signs, Weight and Heig Physical Exam., Tanner Stag Randomization: Randomizat Baseline (Week 0): Vinelan	vant co- sts, pal), ght, ge.	ICF: although the site that the capacity to co protocol assessment of completed, the monit able to verify this. The documentation of this review/verify. Eligibility, medical his concomitant medicat documentation: sour	onsent was tor was not ere was no s process to story, tion	ICF: The site is required to provide a clear documentation to confirm that the capacity to consent was completed for each participant by a qualified clinician before continuing with consenting. The site is also reminded that the capacity assessment is ongoing process per study REB application.
	ABC and Domain Score Sum		documentation: sour		

	Vineland-3-Subbdomain Score Summary, C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs. <u>Week 2:</u> CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs, C-SSRS. <u>Week 4:</u> C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global), CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs. <u>Week 6:</u> CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs, C-SSRS. <u>Week 8:</u> C-SSRS, Clinical Lab Tests, Pregnancy Test, CGI-S (Global), CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs. <u>Study Drug:</u> Study Drug Dispensing, Study Drug Administration. <u>Adverse Events/SAEs:</u> Adverse Events, Serious Adverse Event Report.	 were incomplete or insufficient to support all of eligibility criteria and medical history. Physical exam: tanner staging: This evaluation was not completed at screening visit as per REB approved protocol. 	Eligibility, medical history, concomitant medication documentation: Please ensure that there is a source document to support each inclusion and exclusion criterion, when applicable. Physical exam: Please submit a protocol deviation. Moving forward, please ensure to complete all component of physical exam required for each study visit.
100-0440	 Screening: ICF/Assent, Eligibility, Medical History, Other relevant medical history, Psychiatric co- morbidities, Clinical Lab Tests, Pregnancy Test, CGI-S (Global), Vital Signs, Weight and Height, Physical Exam., Tanner Stage. Randomization: Randomization Baseline (Week 0): Vineland-3- ABC and Domain Score Summary, Vineland-3-Subbdomain Score Summary, C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs. Week 2: CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs, C-SSRS. Week 4: C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global), CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs. 	 ICF: although the site has confirmed that the capacity to consent protocol assessment was completed, the monitor was not able to verify this. There was no documentation of this process to review/verify. Eligibility, medical history, concomitant medication documentation: source documents were incomplete or insufficient to support all of eligibility criteria and medical history. 	 ICF: The site is required to provide a clear documentation to confirm that the capacity to consent was completed for each participant by a qualified clinician before continuing with consenting. The site is also reminded that the capacity assessment is ongoing process per study REB application. Eligibility documentation: Please ensure that there is a source document to support each inclusion and exclusion criterion, when applicable.

	Randomization: Randomization Baseline (Week 0): Vineland-3- ABC and Domain Score Summary, Vineland-3-Subbdomain Score Summary, C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs. Week 2: CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD,	Eligibility, medical history, concomitant medication documentation: source documents were incomplete or insufficient to support all of eligibility criteria. For example; exclusion criterion 3 (H/O epilepsy), and medical history.	reminded that the capacity assessment is ongoing process per study REB application. Eligibility, medical history, concomitant medication documentation: Please ensure that there is a source document to support each inclusion and exclusion criterion, and medical
	morbidities, Clinical Lab Tests, Pregnancy Test, CGI-S (Global), Vital Signs, Weight and Height, Physical Exam., Tanner Stage.	completed, the monitor was not able to verify this. There was no documentation of this process to review/verify.	consent was completed for each participant by a qualified clinician before continuing with consenting. The site is also
105-0583	Report. <u>Screening:</u> ICF/Assent, Eligibility, Medical History, Other relevant medical history, Psychiatric co-	ICF: although the site has confirmed that the capacity to consent protocol assessment was	ICF: The site is required to provide a clear documentation to confirm that the capacity to
	Administration. <u>Adverse Events/SAEs:</u> Adverse Events, Serious Adverse Event		
	<u>Study Drug</u> : Study Drug Dispensing, Study Drug		
	<u>Week 18:</u> CGI-S (Global), CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs, C- SSRS.		
	3-Subbdomain Score Summary, C- SSRS, CGI-S (Global), CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs, Height/Weight, Clinical Lab Tests.		
	Week 16: Vineland-3-ABC and Domain Score Summary, Vineland-		
	<u>Week 12:</u> C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global), CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs.		
	Week 8: C-SSRS, Clinical Lab Tests, Pregnancy Test, CGI-S (Global), CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs.		
	Week 6: CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs, C-SSRS.		

	Vital Signs, C-SSRS.		history, when applicable.
	<u>Week 4:</u> C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global), CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs.		
	<u>Week 6:</u> CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs, C-SSRS. <u>Week 8:</u> C-SSRS, Clinical Lab Tests, Pregnancy Test, CGI-S (Global), CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs.		
	<u>Week 12:</u> C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global), CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs.		
	<u>Week 16:</u> Vineland-3-ABC and Domain Score Summary, Vineland- 3-Subbdomain Score Summary, C- SSRS, CGI-S (Global), CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs, Height/Weight, Clinical Lab Tests.		
	<u>Study Drug:</u> Study Drug Dispensing, Study Drug Administration. <u>Adverse Events/SAEs:</u> Adverse		
	Events, Serious Adverse Event Report.		
105-0697 (In depth review)	Screening: ICF/Assent, Eligibility, Medical History, Other relevant medical history, Psychiatric co- morbidities, Clinical Lab Tests, Exploratory Lab Tests, Pregnancy Test, CGI-S (Global), ADOS-2, Vital Signs, Weight and Height, Physical Exam., Tanner Stage. Randomization: Randomization	ICF: although the site has confirmed that the capacity to consent protocol assessment was completed, the monitor was not able to verify this. There was no documentation of this process to review/verify. Eligibility, medical history, concomitant medication	ICF: The site is required to provide a clear documentation to confirm that the capacity to consent was completed for each participant by a qualified clinician before continuing with consenting. The site is also reminded that the capacity assessment is ongoing process
	Baseline (Week 0): Vineland-3- ABC and Domain Score Summary, Vineland-3-Subbdomain Score Summary, C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global), ESS-CHAD, ABC (Questions 1-58), SEQ (Questions 1-105), DCDQ,	documentation: source documents were incomplete or insufficient to support all of eligibility criteria, concomitant medication (e.g. non- pharmacologic behavioral intervention), and medical history.	per study REB application. Eligibility, medical history, concomitant medication documentation: Please ensure that there is a source document to support each inclusion and

	PedsQL, AIM, Vital Signs.	ADOS-2: the ADOS-2 available for	exclusion criterion, when
	reuser, Anvi, vital signs.	review was an uncertified	applicable.
	Week 2: CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD,	photocopy of the original source	
	Vital Signs, C-SSRS.	document (ADOS-2 was completed	ADOS-2: Please ensure to provide
		on 24/10/2017).	the original document, if this
	Week 4: C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global),	011 247 107 2017).	deemed not possible the study
	CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, ABC	CGI-I (Global) and CGI-S (Global): in	team is advised to include a
	(Questions 1-58), PedsQL, AIM,	multiple occasions the rate of	certified copy of the original
	SEQ (Questions 1-105), Vital Signs.	improvement has been changed	ADOS-2 (per PM this was
		(CGI-I: week 4, week 8, week 12,	addressed in MOP V.3).
	Week 6: CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD,	week 16), sometimes after few	
	Vital Signs, C-SSRS.	weeks of assessment (CGI-S: week	CGI-I (Global) and CGI-S (Global):
	Week 8: C-SSRS, Clinical Lab Tests,	12, CGI-I: week 12, week 16).	Although the study team has
	Pregnancy Test, CGI-S (Global),		confirmed that the correction
	CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, ABC	C-SSRS: although C-SSRS CRFs were	was part of quality control
	(Questions 1-58), PedsQL, AIM,	completed per study protocol,	process and staff training. The
	SEQ (Questions 1-105), Vital Signs.	however, the monitor was not able	study team is advised to add NTF
		to verify if this assessment was	to explain these changes and to
	Week 12: SEQ (Questions 1-105),	completed by a qualified research	provide more details.
	ABC (Questions 31-58), PedsQL,	staff (no initials or staff signature)	C SSRS: plages around that C
	AIM.		C-SSRS: please ensure that C-
			SSRS is completed by a qualified
	Week 16: ABC (Questions 1-58),		staff who was delegated to
	Exploratory Lab Tests, SEQ		perform this task. The form
	(Questions 1-105), DCDQ, PedsQL,		should be signed, dated and
	AIM		completed.
	<u>Study Drug:</u> Study Drug		
	Dispensing, Study Drug		
	Administration.		
	Adverse Events/SAEs: Adverse		
	Events, Serious Adverse Event		
	Report.		
	Concernite at latera set in and		
	Concomitant Intervention and Medications: Concomitant (Non-		
	Pharmacologic Interventions),		
	Concomitant Medications.		
105-0868	Screening: ICF/Assent, Eligibility,	ICF: although the site has confirmed	ICF: The site is required to
102-0000	Medical History, Other relevant	that the capacity to consent	provide a clear documentation to
	medical history, Psychiatric co-	protocol assessment was	confirm that the capacity to
	morbidities, Clinical Lab Tests,	completed, the monitor was not	consent was completed for each
	Pregnancy Test, CGI-S (Global),	able to verify this. There was no	participant by a qualified clinician
	Vital Signs, Weight and Height,	documentation of this process to	before continuing with
	Physical Exam., Tanner Stage.	review/verify.	consenting. The site is also
	riysicai Lxaiii., raiiilei Sidge.		reminded that the capacity
			reminueu mar me capacity

Randomization:Baseline (Week 0):Vineland-3-ABC and Domain Score Summary,Vineland-3-Subbdomain ScoreSummary, C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global),ESS-CHAD, Vital Signs.Week 2:CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD,Vital Signs, C-SSRS.Week 4:C-SSRS, CGI-S (Global),CGI-I (Global), ESS-CHAD, VitalSigns.Study Drug:Study Drug:Study DrugAdministration.	Eligibility documentation: source documents were incomplete or insufficient to support all of eligibility criteria and medical history. Physical exam: Tanner staging wasn't done at screening (Tanner staging was completed at baseline visit instead).	 assessment is ongoing process per study REB application. Eligibility, medical history, concomitant medication documentation: Please ensure that there is a source document to support each inclusion and exclusion criterion, when applicable. Physical exam: Please submit a protocol deviation. Moving forward, please ensure to complete all component of physical exam required for each study visit.
<u>Adverse Events/SAEs:</u> Adverse Events, Serious Adverse Event Report.		

REPORT ATTACHMENTS

Follow Up Letter dated 21/01/2020 Site Action Items Log

REQUIRED SIGNATURES					
	Print Name	Title/Position	Signature	Date	
Monitor	Abdalla	Research Compliance &			
Author of Monitoring Report	Abdussamad	Education Specialist			
Research Manager, Signature Confirms Report has been Reviewed	Karima Noordin	Project Manager			
Sponsor Signature acknowledges Report has been Received	Evdokia Anagnostou	Sponsor-Study Principle Investigator			